

DDA Registry
File Security 2

Lack of Proper Classification

DDA 76-1284

Executive Officer, DDA

STATINTL

6555

15 March 1976

Director of Training

STATINTL

Since dictating this memo, I have received the original copy of [REDACTED] memo. I will change the classification to "Secret" on the original copy and will request OLC to do the same on their copy.

STATINTL

Ignore the above. I am returning herewith the original copy from [REDACTED] the CCS and DDA copies.

Distribution:

Orig RS - Addressee
1 - DDA Subject
1 - DDA Chrono
1 - RFZ Chrono

Att: DDA 76-1271 (Orig, CCS & DDA copies)

STATINTL

EO-DDA [REDACTED] m (15 Mar 76)

SECRET

DDA 76-1284

15 March 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Training

25X1A FROM : [REDACTED] Executive Officer,
Deputy Director for Administration

SUBJECT: : Lack of Proper Classification

Rod:

25X1A 1. Attached is a memorandum from Stan
25X1A [REDACTED] with copies of other material relating to
the [REDACTED] Copies were for- 25X1A
warded to CCS, and it appears to me that [REDACTED]
has caught us with a "gotcha."

25X1A 2. I don't think it's necessary to go into
25X1A bit by bit the problem that is raised by stamping the
material "Administrative-Internal Use Only," because I
think the information which clearly relates [REDACTED] 25X1A

[REDACTED] It would be appro-
priate if copies of the correspondence already distributed
would be recalled and appropriately classified. I think
I am correct in thinking that a "Secret" classification
is in order, although I would not be inclined to argue
against "Confidential." It is clear, however, that
"Administrative-Internal Use Only" is not proper for this
correspondence.

[REDACTED] 25X1A
Executive Officer/DDA

25X1A Attachment:
Memo to DTR [REDACTED]
dated 8 March 1976

Distribution:
Orig - Addressee w/att
1 - DDA Subject w/att
1 - DDA Chrono w/o att
1 - RFZ Chrono

25X1A EO-DDA [REDACTED] 1m (15 March 1976)

SECRET

E.2 IMPDET CL BY 009568